

UMA OII Numerical Analysis.

→ What is this course about?

Textbook: Numerical Analysis
by Burden & Faires

→ Theory + lab.

Generally

→ Evaluations → 70% (MST + EST)
→ 30% (Lab + sessional)

Online Mode.

Awaiting DOAA's instructions



→ All notes + Zoom recording of Lectures will be available on my webpage :- www.amrikseu.com → then go to teaching tab

→ All general instructions relating to course (exams, quiz, lab experiments, etc) will be available through LMS.

from §(1.2) Chopping & rounding

(2)

→ Computer is a finite state m/c w/ finite memory

→ Electronic hardware - on & off states - 0, 1 (bin represent)
of (digital) no.s.

→ Decimal floating pt. representation of any +ve real no. can be represented as

$$y = 0.d_1d_2 \dots d_k d_{k+1} d_{k+2} \dots \times 10^n \quad ; \quad 1 \leq d_1 \leq 9, \quad 0 \leq d_i \leq 9 \quad \forall \quad i=2, \dots, k$$

Mantissa / fractional part

→ Computer has finite memory $\begin{cases} \rightarrow \text{Chop} (0.d_1d_2 \dots d_k \times 10^n) \\ \rightarrow \text{round} (0.s_1s_2 \dots s_k \times 10^n) \end{cases}$

eg. Express 0.7153987×10^6 upto 5 decimal places.

(i) Chopping :- 0.71539×10^6

(ii) Rounding :- 0.71540×10^6

§(1.2) Error (in representation), Significant digits.

(3)

p is the actual true value; p^* is approximate num. repⁿ.

Absolute error :- $|p - p^*|$

Relative error :- $\frac{|p - p^*|}{|p|}$; $p \neq 0$

Significant digits :-

eg

- 7300
- 0.0065
- 3020.40
- 8002

Underlined digits are significant.

So what are the rules to identify significant digits?

Rules for finding significant digits (s.d.)

④

- 1) All non-zero no.s ARE significant. eg. 33.2 has 3 s.d.
- 2) Zeros between two non-zero digits ARE significant - eg. 2051 has 4 s.d.
- 3) Leading zeros ARE NOT significant. eg. 0.0032 has 2 s.d.
- 4) Trailing zeros to the right of the decimal pt. ARE significant. eg. 92.00 has 4 s.d.

Why? B/c an experimenter who estimates (calculates) 92.00 kg/m^3 to be the density of a substance X knows the estimate is accurate up to 2 decimal pts. whereas another experimentalist who estimates the same value to 92 kg/m^3 has done so less precisely i.e. does not know the accuracy after decimal pt.

- ⑤ Trailing zeros in a whole no. with decimal shown ARE significant eg. 540. has 3 s.d.
- ⑥ Trailing zeros in a whole no. with no decimal shown are NOT significant. eg. 540 has 2 s.d.
- ⑦ Exact no.s have an INFINITE no. of s.d.
eg. 1 meter = 1.00 meters = 1.0000 meters = 1.000000000 meters
- ⑧ For a no. in scientific notation: $N \times 10^x$, all digits comprising N ARE significant by the first 6 rules; " 10 " and " x " are NOT significant

NOTE :- rule ⑧ provides the opportunity to change the no. of s.d. in a value by manipulating its form. eg.

1100 has 2 s.d.
1100. has 4 s.d.
 1.10×10^3 has 3 s.d.

Question :- Express 1000.3 in a form w/ 4 s.d.

Ans :- 1000.3 has 5 s.d.

Rounding gives 1000 has 1 s.d.

Now express it as 1000. w/ 4 s.d.

Question Let $p = 0.54617$, $q = 0.54601$.
Assume you have a computer which has a precision s.t. it can accurately handle 4-digit arithmetic. Which among rounding & chopping will give you better precision to calculate $r = p - q$?

Ans :-
Rounding
 $p^* = 0.5462$, $q^* = 0.5460$
 $r^* = p^* - q^* = 0.0002$ has 1 s.d.
 $rel. error = \frac{|r - r^*|}{|r|} = \frac{|0.00016 - 0.0002|}{|0.00016|} = 0.25$:)

Chopping
 $p^* = 0.5461$, $q^* = 0.5460$
 $r^* = p^* - q^* = 0.0001$ has 1 s.d.
 $rel. error = \frac{|0.00016 - 0.0001|}{|0.00016|} = 0.375$:)

(7)

Reading assignment!

from text book - "Numerical Analysis" by Burden & Faires §(1.2) on pg. 23-24

Study example (5)

this example shows you how to use a certain "rationalization" technique in a formula to increase the accuracy of numerical computation in a finite state m/c (computer)!