Gauss-Seidel Method Gauss-Seidel Algorithm Convergence Results Interpretation Convergence Results for General Iteration Methods

Theorem For any $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the sequence $\{\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ defined by $\mathbf{x}^{(k)} = T\mathbf{x}^{(k-1)} + \mathbf{c}$, for each $k \ge 1$ converges to the unique solution of $\mathbf{x} = T\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}$

if and only if $\rho(T) < 1$.

Convergence of the Jacobi & Gauss-Seidel Methods

Interpretation

Using the Matrix Formulations

We have seen that the Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel iterative techniques can be written

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k)} = T_j \mathbf{x}^{(k-1)} + \mathbf{c}_j$$
 and
 $\mathbf{x}^{(k)} = T_g \mathbf{x}^{(k-1)} + \mathbf{c}_g$

using the matrices

Gauss-Seidel Method

$$T_j = D^{-1}(L+U)$$
 and $T_g = (D-L)^{-1}U$

respectively. If $\rho(T_j)$ or $\rho(T_g)$ is less than 1, then the corresponding sequence $\{\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ will converge to the solution \mathbf{x} of $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$.

Example

For example, the Jacobi method has

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k)} = D^{-1}(L+U)\mathbf{x}^{(k-1)} + D^{-1}\mathbf{b},$$

Example

For example, the Jacobi method has

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k)} = D^{-1}(L+U)\mathbf{x}^{(k-1)} + D^{-1}\mathbf{b}$$

and, if $\{\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ converges to \mathbf{x} ,

Example

For example, the Jacobi method has

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k)} = D^{-1}(L+U)\mathbf{x}^{(k-1)} + D^{-1}\mathbf{b}$$

and, if $\{\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ converges to \mathbf{x} , then

$$x = D^{-1}(L+U)x + D^{-1}b$$

Example

For example, the Jacobi method has

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k)} = D^{-1}(L+U)\mathbf{x}^{(k-1)} + D^{-1}\mathbf{b}$$

and, if $\{\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ converges to \mathbf{x} , then

$$x = D^{-1}(L+U)x + D^{-1}k$$

This implies that

$$D\mathbf{x} = (L+U)\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}$$
 and $(D-L-U)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$

Example

For example, the Jacobi method has

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k)} = D^{-1}(L+U)\mathbf{x}^{(k-1)} + D^{-1}\mathbf{b}$$

and, if $\{\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ converges to \mathbf{x} , then

$$x = D^{-1}(L+U)x + D^{-1}b$$

This implies that

$$D\mathbf{x} = (L+U)\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}$$
 and $(D-L-U)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$

Since D - L - U = A, the solution **x** satisfies A**x** = **b**.

The following are easily verified sufficiency conditions for convergence of the Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel methods.

The following are easily verified sufficiency conditions for convergence of the Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel methods.

Theorem

If *A* is strictly diagonally dominant, then for any choice of $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$, both the Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel methods give sequences $\{\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ that converge to the unique solution of $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$.

Is Gauss-Seidel better than Jacobi?

Is Gauss-Seidel better than Jacobi?

 No general results exist to tell which of the two techniques, Jacobi or Gauss-Seidel, will be most successful for an arbitrary linear system.

Is Gauss-Seidel better than Jacobi?

- No general results exist to tell which of the two techniques, Jacobi or Gauss-Seidel, will be most successful for an arbitrary linear system.
- In special cases, however, the answer is known, as is demonstrated in the following theorem.

(Stein-Rosenberg) Theorem

If $a_{ij} \leq 0$, for each $i \neq j$ and $a_{ii} > 0$, for each i = 1, 2, ..., n, then one and only one of the following statements holds:

- (i) $0 \le \rho(T_g) < \rho(T_j) < 1$
- (ii) $1 < \rho(T_j) < \rho(T_g)$
- (iii) $\rho(T_j) = \rho(T_g) = 0$
- (iv) $\rho(T_j) = \rho(T_g) = 1$

(Stein-Rosenberg) Theorem

If $a_{ij} \leq 0$, for each $i \neq j$ and $a_{ii} > 0$, for each i = 1, 2, ..., n, then one and only one of the following statements holds:

- (i) $0 \le \rho(T_q) < \rho(T_i) < 1$
- (ii) $1 < \rho(T_j) < \rho(T_g)$
- (iii) $\rho(T_i) = \rho(T_g) = 0$
- (iv) $\rho(T_i) = \rho(T_g) = 1$

For the proof of this result, see pp. 120-127. of

Young, D. M., Iterative solution of large linear systems, Academic Press, New York, 1971, 570 pp.

Two Comments on the Thoerem

• For the special case described in the theorem, we see from part (i), namely

$$0 \leq \rho(T_g) < \rho(T_j) < 1$$

Two Comments on the Thoerem

• For the special case described in the theorem, we see from part (i), namely

 $0 \le \rho(T_g) < \rho(T_j) < 1$

that when one method gives convergence, then both give convergence,

Two Comments on the Thoerem

• For the special case described in the theorem, we see from part (i), namely

 $0 \le \rho(T_g) < \rho(T_j) < 1$

that when one method gives convergence, then both give convergence, and the Gauss-Seidel method converges faster than the Jacobi method.

Convergence of the Jacobi & Gauss-Seidel Methods

Interpretation

Two Comments on the Thoerem

• For the special case described in the theorem, we see from part (i), namely

 $0 \leq \rho(T_g) < \rho(T_j) < 1$

that when one method gives convergence, then both give convergence, and the Gauss-Seidel method converges faster than the Jacobi method.

• Part (ii), namely

Gauss-Seidel Method

 $1 < \rho(T_j) < \rho(T_g)$

indicates that when one method diverges then both diverge, and the divergence is more pronounced for the Gauss-Seidel method.